



21 December 2023

NON-CONFIDENTIAL

BEFORE THE TRADE REMEDIES AUTHORITY

In the Matter of Transition Review of an Anti-Dumping Measure Applying to Certain
Cast Iron Articles Originating in the People's Republic of China

TD0029

Comments on Statement of Essential Facts

Counsel:

East & Concord Partners

Ms. Vivian Wang

22nd/F, Tower 1, Landmark Towers,

8 North Dongsanhuan Road

Beijing, 100004, P. R. China

Interested party:

Hong Guang Handan Cast Foundry Co. Ltd.

Nankai District, Xiaozhai Town, Jize County,
Handan City, Hebei Province

Comments on Statement of Essential Facts

Introduction

- [1] As mandated by Hong Guang Handan Cast Foundry Co. Ltd. (“Hong Guang”), we hereby submit Hong Guang’s Comments on the Statement of Essential Facts in connection with transition review of an anti-dumping measure applying to certain Cast Iron Articles originating in the People’s Republic of China (“SEF”) published by the Trade Remedies Authority (“TRA”) on 27th November 2023. The deadline for comments of interested parties as fixed in the SEF is 21 December 2023.
- [2] These Hong Guang’s Comments address the issues of the SEF related to 1) the disclosure in the SEF is not sufficient and 2) the exporters/producers who cooperated in the review should be subject to a recalculated antidumping amount or at least be entitled to choose whether to vary the antidumping amount or not.

Comments on the SEF

1. The disclosure in the SEF is not sufficient

- [3] In SEF, TRA indicated that *“We therefore considered whether it was appropriate, pursuant to regulation 99A(2)(a)(i) of the Regulations, to recalculate the anti-dumping amounts. In doing so, we considered a number of factors including whether the existing measure was affecting the supply of CIA into the UK during the POI and injury period, and therefore potentially distorting any recalculation; whether a methodology for comparing circular to square/rectangular covers would result in a fair comparison in normal value calculations; whether the information available to the case team would allow recalculation of the residual rate; the benefits and difficulties of undertaking face-to-face verification, in particular the additional time required to plan and undertake a visit (following the COVID pandemic, travel to the PRC was not considered an option at the initiation of the review; however, as the review process progressed, the possibility of travelling to the PRC became an option, but not without significantly affecting the timely progression of the transition review process); and the challenges in verifying certain areas of data remotely. Taking all of the factors into*

consideration, it was considered not appropriate to recalculate the anti-dumping amounts.”

[4] However, Hong Guang failed to find any details of TRA’s analysis, such as the details of the factors considered by TRA, and the justification and reasons why the TRA considered not appropriate to recalculate the anti-dumping amount.

[5] According to Article 62 of The Trade Remedies (Dumping and Subsidisation) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, that before making a final affirmative or final negative determination for the purpose of paragraph 11(5) of Schedule 4 to the Act, the TRA must inform interested parties who have supplied information that has been considered by the TRA —

- (i) how it has used the information supplied by that party in making the intended final determination; and
- (ii) of the details of the TRA’s analysis forming the basis of the intended final determination.

[6] That is to say, the TRA should clarify the process of considering and analyzing a number of factors, the details of the factors and the process of reaching the determination, including the calculation of the each sampled company’s dumping margin, rather than simply indicate TRA has considered and then reached a conclusion without any detailed illustration.

[7] Therefore, Hong Guang respectfully requests the TRA to disclosure more detailed information on which the TRA’s conclusion was based, in particular, the calculation of the dumping margin of Hong Guang.

2. Hong Guang should be subject to a recalculated antidumping amount or at least be entitled to choose whether to vary the antidumping amount

[8] In the previous cases, such as TD0017, TRA recommended to vary the application of the anti-dumping amount/countervailing amount but finally decided to maintain the amount on the ground that “*We were unable to calculate an accurate and representative Normal Value in the PRC for comparison with UK prices as we did not receive verifiable transactional data from a Chinese exporter.*” That is to say, the antidumping amount could be recalculated and varied if there exist cooperative exporters/producers.

[9] As indicated in the SEF, the TRA “*obtained sufficient assurance to conclude that the information provided by Handan Cast is complete, relevant and accurate for the purpose of this review.*” That is to say, Hong Guang has provided all the required and verifiable data, and therefore, the information and data provided by Hong Guang could be used to recalculate the antidumping amount for the purpose of this review.

[10] Hong Guang listed its cooperation as follows.

- On 16 December 2022, Hong Guang submitted Response to Pre-Sampling Questionnaire (Exporter);
- On 6 March 2023, Hong Guang submitted Questionnaire Response;
- On 17 March 2023, Hong Guang submitted Response to TRA's deficiency notice;
- On 14 July 2023, Hong Guang participated in the remote walkthrough of accounting system conducted by the TRA;
- On 26 and 28 July 2023, Hong Guang participated in the online verification conducted by the TRA;
- On 27 September 2023, Hong Guang checked the confidential version of the verification report and submitted non-confidential version of the verification report based on TRA's instruction.

[11] According to the SEF, the three sampled Chinese exporters/producers have fully cooperated the review by submitting questionnaire reply and accepting verification, and their data has been verified by the TRA. Also, the UK producers have also cooperated and their data has been verified. That is to say, TRA has sufficient and accurate data to recalculate the dumping margin and injury margin.

[12] Hong Guang noticed that only few exporters/producers participated or fully cooperated in other transition reviews resulting in that the TRA has no choice but to maintain the measure. The participation and cooperation of exporters/producers are of significant importance to the investigation initiated by the TRA. In order to encourage the participation and cooperation of exporters/producers, the exporters/producers who fully cooperated in the review should have different treatment from other exporters/producers who do not fully cooperate. That is to say, the cooperated sampled exporters/producers should enjoy the same treatment

in the original investigation to be subject to a recalculated antidumping amount, or, at least be entitled to choose whether to vary their antidumping amount or not.


Conclusion

[13] In view of the above, Hong Guang respectfully requests the TRA to:

- 1) disclose more detailed information concerning the calculation of dumping margin; and
- 2) grant the cooperated exporters/producers a recalculated antidumping amount or at least the right to choose whether to vary the antidumping amount or not.

* * *

Submitted on behalf of Hong Guang Handan Cast Foundry Co., Ltd.:

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Vivian Wang". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Vivian Wang

East & Concord Partners